



The physics of granular materials F. Melo

Universidad de Santiago de Chile and CIMAT

Collaborators: Leonardo Caballero Stephan Job Francisco Vivanco Eugenio Hamm

From a grain to avalanches: Exhibit at the Science museum of Santiago







Newton, Hertz, Faraday, Reynolds, Bagnold. Ottino, Nagel, Jaeger, Umbanhowar and many others.





Dilatancy in a granular material: Reynolds 1885







And and a second lines.

This explain what happens when walking on wet sand.

Walking on sand: a model experiment

Motorized Translation **Glass** Plate Cell Stage **Divergent Lens** Camera Lens CCD Indentator **Glass Plates** Cell Indentator Granular Granular Material Material Beam Expander Laser Source

Speckles methods

Cell size: 1cm deep, 2cm wide, 5cm long

Pushing object: 3mm



Mean Displacement Field for Cylindrical Indentator over 100 displacements



Speckles method for displacements



Before displacement

After

Correlation







A rough estimate of the free surface deflection.





Check next vacations!.



Hopper flows: How hourglass works











vertical transfer of momentum/area time

$$\sigma \sim \frac{1}{6} n \overline{v} [mu(x+l) - mu(x-l)] \qquad \sigma = \frac{1}{3} n \overline{v} ml \left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)$$

$$\eta = \frac{1}{3} n \overline{v} lm$$
"Thermal speed" ~ c





In a granular material



 $\sigma \sim \frac{\rho_p D^3}{\left(\delta + D\right)^2} \frac{\Delta u}{t_c}$

$$\frac{\rho_p D^3}{(\delta + D)} \frac{1}{t_c} \left(\frac{\Delta u}{\delta + D} \right) \sim \frac{\rho_p D^3}{(\delta + D)} \frac{1}{t_c} \left(\frac{du}{dy} \right)$$
$$t_c \sim \frac{\delta}{\overline{v}} \qquad \sigma \sim \frac{\rho_p D^3}{(\delta + D)} \frac{\overline{v}}{\delta} \frac{du}{dy}$$
but

$$\overline{v} \sim \Delta u \Rightarrow \sigma \sim \left(\frac{du}{dy}\right)^2 \frac{Bagnold}{1941}$$





Force fluctuations might dominate flows







In general there is no intrinsic thermal speed: -Poor separation scale: Hydrodynamic difficult -Random fluctuations depend on energy injection -Absence of well adapted experimental methods.

-Free falling arch?-Grains accelerate over a distance D:

 $V \propto \sqrt{gD}$



The standard procedure for underground mining





The starting point





"Induce fracture initiation"

The common believe





As the mineral is extracted the fracture front propagates...

If the mineral is extracted too fast, instable cavities might form.





"When 30% of the mineral has been extracted, the fracture front has reached the top"





Important questions:

How to avoid extracting poor mineral at the top.
How drag bodies evolve.
How drag bodies interact.
How to optimize drag bodies size.



Scientific basis to make decisions!! Avoid common believes.





Modeling drag bodies interactions







Applications II







-Grinding machine. -Flow optimization. -Size selection.





Vibrated granular materials





Surface Waves on the granular layer: Fluid like behavior (Umbanhowar, Swinney)



Side view



Parametric instability at f/2



Fine Powders: air effects





A cartoon, J. Duran









Fine powders.







Chicago, October 2004.







Chicago, October 2004.







Experiments $\Rightarrow V = V_{D\eta} \alpha (\Gamma - \Gamma_c)$



V =

A simple picture.

- Stokes flow:
$$\pi \rho D^3 g / 6 \sim 3\pi D \eta V_D \Rightarrow V_D = \rho g D^2 / 18\eta$$

Limit speed

- Darcy law:
$$V = \nabla P (D^2 \phi / 150(1 - \phi)^2 \eta)$$

 $V_L = g \rho (D^2 \phi / 150(1 - \phi)^2 \eta)$
 $t_L = V_L / g$ Relaxation time
-Scaling:
 $t_{ff} \approx \gamma (\Gamma - \Gamma_c) / f$
 $t_D = D / V_L$
 $g_x = g \sin(\alpha);$
 $V = g \tan(a) t_D t_{ff} f \Rightarrow V = g D \tan(\alpha) \gamma (\Gamma - \Gamma_c) / V_L \propto \rho \eta / D$



Fluid viscosity effect



Conclusions:

-A simple idea captures the main features of wetting droplets

-To a more elaborate description: -Vary particles diameter. -Full characterization of gas flow.









Back to Newton time!

 \bigstar



Impulsion transmission in elastic beads

Nonlinear behavior of spherical contacts under elastic deformation: Hertzian contact



 $a^{2} = R^{2} - (R - \delta)^{2} \approx 2R\delta \longrightarrow F_{0} \sim ES(\delta/a) \sim E\delta a \sim E\delta^{3/2}$





 \bigstar A chain of identical beads (mass *m*) is a dispersive medium











 \bigstar Dispersion relation for acoustical mode







★ Sonic vacuum, but nonlinearity + dispersion = Solitons





A chain of elastic beads supports acoustical wave and also **solitary waves**





n-2 n-1 n n+1 n+2

$$m\partial_{tt}^{2}u_{n} = \kappa \left[(u_{n-1} - u_{n})^{3/2} - (u_{n} - u_{n+1})^{3/2} \right] \qquad \kappa = \frac{R^{1/2}}{2^{3/2}\theta} \qquad \theta = \frac{3(1 - \sigma^{2})}{4Y}$$

$$\lambda \gg R \qquad \Box \rangle \quad \partial_{tt}^2 \psi + C^2 \partial_{xx}^2 \left[\psi^{3/2} + (2/5) R^2 \psi^{1/4} \partial_{xx}^2 \left(\psi^{5/4} \right) \right] \approx 0 \qquad C^2 \propto \kappa / m$$

$$\psi = -\partial_x u \qquad \psi = \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\upsilon}{C}\right)^4 \cos^4\left(\frac{x-\upsilon t}{R\sqrt{10}}\right) \qquad F \approx \kappa (2R\psi)^{3/2}$$
$$F = F_m \cos^6\left(\frac{x-\upsilon t}{R\sqrt{10}}\right) \qquad \upsilon \approx \left(\frac{6}{5\pi\rho}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{F_m}{\theta^2 R^2}\right)^{1/6} \propto Y^{1/3} F_m^{1/6}$$





.

★ In summary

$$\label{eq:relation} \Box > F_0
eq 0 \longrightarrow c_g \propto F_0^{1/6}$$
 Acoustical modes

> $F_0 = 0$ No acoustical wave but our system exhibits a solitary wave solution (a mexican-hat profile)

$$F(t) = F_m \cos^6 \left(\frac{x - \upsilon t}{R\sqrt{10}}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad \upsilon \propto F_m^{1/6}$$

Qualitative agreement with previous experimental works. For instance, V. Nesterenko et al and E. Falcon et al.



Experimental setup













Force

at wall











CIMAT





$$\psi \approx \delta/(2R) \quad \square \qquad \partial_{tt}^2 \delta + C^2 \partial_{xx}^2 [\delta^{3/2}] = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dissipative behavior} \qquad \partial_{tt}^2 \delta + C^2 \partial_{xx}^2 \Big[\delta^{3/2} + \eta \partial_t \Big(\delta^{3/2} \Big) \Big] &= 0 \\ \partial_{tt}^2 \delta + C^2 \partial_{xx}^2 \Big[\delta^{3/2} \Big(1 + \frac{3\eta}{2} \frac{\partial_t \delta}{\delta} \Big) \Big] &= 0 \\ \partial_t \delta \approx \pm \delta_m / \tau \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \partial_{tt}^2 \delta + C^2 \partial_{xx}^2 \Big[\delta^{3/2} \Big(1 \pm \frac{3\eta}{2\tau} \Big) \Big] &= 0 \\ C^2 \propto Y \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad Y^* = Y \Big(1 \pm \frac{3\eta}{2\tau} \Big) \end{aligned}$$

→ Compression (release) feels harder (softer) medium when dissipative
→ Compression faster than release i.e. broadening of the soliton





Almost all the energy is transmitted.



perspectives



-Good agreement between experiments and theory under academics configurations. Need a more accurate theory, including dissipation

-Study of a disordered chains, and few others configurations.

-Two and three dimension effects: disorder, shock front?

- Shape effects, Hertz is no longer valid but solitary waves might remain; introduce defect contact to check. In 3D, acoustical modes propagate along chains of force, where $F_0 \neq 0$



 $F_0 = 0 \; , {\rm regions} \; {\rm of} \; \\ {\rm solitary wave propagation} \; .$



Conclusions.





Works of 10 years old kids



Updated version of the manual of granular material exhibit.







Extension to 3D medium



 \bigstar

"Sound in sand", Liu, Nagel, PRL 68(15), April 1992. "Spatial patterns of sound propagation in sand", Liu, PRB 50(2), July 1994.







 \star "Ultrasound propagation in externally stressed granular media",

Jia, Caroli, Velicky, PRL 82(9), March 1999.





FIG. 4. Sound velocity V (data points) of the coherent E wave in the bead packing, d = 0.4-0.8 mm versus the applied stress P.